

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,014	07/11/2006	Naruhito Higo	28730U	7726
20529 THE NATH I	529 7590 12/08/2008 HE NATH LAW GROUP		EXAMINER	
112 South West Street			ARROYO, CESAR D	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4117	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/580.014 HIGO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CESAR ARROYO 4117 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 May 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 4117

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trigger,
 U.S. 5,950,830. In regards to claim 1, Trigger, Fig. 1, discloses a packaging bag and a plaster, the packaging bag comprising:
 - i. A pair of packaging films, (14), (10) disposed facing one another, sealed around the perimeter forming a portion for housing said plaster, one of the films having a protrusion protruding toward the other said packaging films, where the protrusion only touches the a portion of the plaster that excludes an outer periphery of said plaster body.
- 3. In regards to claim 2, Trigger, Fig. 5, further discloses the peripheral portion of the release film (7) of the plaster body (1) extends outwards beyond the outer peripheral of the plaster body (1) and an outer peripheral portion of said packaging film (1) on which the protrusion is formed is separated away from the outer periphery of said plaster body. Also, see column 4, line 51-64.
- 4. In regards to claim 3, Trigger discloses a packaging bag where the relationship of (E+F)/A>F/C and (E+F)/a>F/c, as taken from claim 3 and applied to the packaging bag disclosed by Trigger Fig. 5, is satisfied.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/580,014

Art Unit: 4117

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trigger, as applied to claims 1-3 as above, and further in view of Akemi, U.S. 5,505,306. While Trigger teaches the limitations of claims 1-3 above, he does not teach the films being a laminated film having an aluminum layer therein. Akemi teaches package structure where the packaging is a laminated film comprising a polyester based film and a metallic foil, such as aluminum (see column 3, lines 37-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to provide the packaging taught by Trigger with the material of the packaging consisting of the laminated aluminum taught by Akemi, in order to prevent moisture permeation to damage the plaster contained in the packaging.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CESAR ARROYO whose telephone number is (571)270-7817. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1700 Monday-Thursday, 0730-1600 Friday, Everyother Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naeem Haq can be reached on 571-272-6758. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 4117

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 4117 / Charles A. Fox/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652